Appln. No.: 10/531,953

REMARKS

The invention relates to a scrubbing method which may be employed in washing and

drying a lens mold used for cast polymerization molding of plastic lenses. The invention also

relates to a method of manufacturing plastic lenses by use of a lens mold.

In the present Amendment, claim 1 is amended to state that the elastic polishing member

employed in the scrubbing method is a liquid-permeable sponge. Support for this aspect of the

amendment may be found, for example, at page 22, lines 7-8 of the specification.

Further, claim 1 is amended to recite that the method includes a liquid discharge port

which moves with the elastic polishing member and continuously supplies the liquid employed

in the method to the upper side of the elastic polishing member during the washing step and self-

washing step recited in the claim. Support for this aspect of the amendment may be found, for

example, at page 22, line 19 to page 23, last line of the specification.

Claim 1 is also amended to simplify its language.

No new matter is added and entry of the Amendment is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3 and 6-15 are pending, with claims 6-15 being withdrawn from consideration.

In Paragraph No. 3 of the Action, claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite.

Regarding claim 1, the Examiner states that the phrase "in this condition" in lines 4 and 8

renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear what is meant by "this condition".

As seen above, claim 1 has been amended to simplify its language and to delete the

phrase "in this condition".

6

Appln. No.: 10/531,953

Claim 1 as amended satisfies the definiteness requirement of § 112. Reconsideration and

withdrawal of the § 112, second paragraph, indefiniteness rejection of claims 1-3 are respectfully

requested.

In Paragraph No. 7 of the Action, claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

allegedly being unpatentable over JP 2001-353650 to Tabata et al and in view of EP 0764478 to

Maekawa et al and in further view of JP S64-23224 to Murakami et al.

Applicants submit that this § 103 rejection should be withdrawn because Tabata,

Mackawa and Murakami do not disclose or render obvious the scrubbing method of the present

invention.

Mackawa does not disclose a hollow pressing unit. The Examiner will please refer to

Fig. 6 of Mackawa. As stated at col. 8, lines 52-54, Fig. 6 of Mackawa "shows the positional

relationship between the cleaning member 22 and the quartz plate 29 in the cleaning cup 24."

Maekawa discloses that the sponge layer of the cleaning member 22 is pressed against the quartz

plate 29. In contrast to Mackawa, the deforming of the elastic polishing member by a rod-like or

hollow cylindrical pressing unit is larger or greater than the deforming by a plate, and therefore it

results in more effective self-washing. This is a distinction over Mackawa. Present claim 1

expressly calls for deforming the elastic polishing member by pressing the elastic polishing

member against a rod-like or hollow cylindrical pressing unit so as to wash the elastic polishing

member. See present claim 1.

Turning to Tabata, the balloon polishing member taught by Tabata is hard to self-wash,

because a polishing member stuck or adhered to the polisher with a pressure-sensitive adhesive

double-coated tape may break away from the polisher by deforming or deformation of the

polisher. Applicants also question how the method taught by Tabata could be modified to

7

Appln. No.: 10/531,953

include a self-washing step as taught by Maekawa. The requisite motivation appears to be

lacking. An elastic polishing member should be a liquid-permeable sponge.

Still further, in the present invention, a liquid discharge port which moves with the elastic

polishing member continuously supplies the liquid to the upper side of the elastic polishing

member throughout the washing step and the self-washing step. Since the elastic polishing

member is made of a liquid-permeable sponge, the liquid supplied to the upper side of the elastic

polishing member permeates the clastic polishing member, so effective washing and self-

washing can be conducted by a simple (single) liquid discharge port. Tabata and Maekawa never

disclose or suggest the liquid discharge port as recited in the present claims.

Murakami does not make up for the deficiencies of Tabata and Maekawa discussed

above.

For these reasons, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the

§ 103 rejection of claims 1-3 based on Tabata et al JP '650 in view of Mackawa et al EP '478

and in further view of Murakami et al JP '224.

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and

such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be

best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to

contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

8

Appln. No.: 10/531,953

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Brett S. Sylvester/ Brett S. Sylvester

Registration No. 32,765

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: November 3, 2010